CYTOTOXIC AND ANTITUMOR ACTIVITY OF VISMIONES ISOLATED FROM VISMIEAE

GIUSEPPE CASSINELLI,* CRISTINA GERONI,

Research and Development, Farmitalia Carlo Erba, via dei Gracchi 35, 20146 Milano, Italy

BRUNO BOTTA, GIULIANO DELLE MONACHE, and FRANCO DELLE MONACHE

Centro Chimica dei Recettori del CNR, Instituto di Chimica dell'Università del Sacro Cuore, Large F. Vito 1, 00168 Roma, Italy

Recently many South American Vismia species and African Psorospermum species belonging to the same tribe (Vismieae) of Hypericoideae have been examined resulting in the isolation, among other things, of 12 vismiones, whose occurrence is limited to this tribe (1,2).

The isolated vismiones (1-12, Figure

1) are characterized by a tetrahydroanthracene nucleus with a non-aromatic A ring and differ in the C-6 substituent (OH or OAc), in the C-3 substituent (OH, OMe, OPr, or O-geranyl), and in the C-2 side chain, which may be constituted by mono- or di-prenyl (only in visione G, **12**, the side chain is at C-10) (1). From the chemotaxonomic point of



FIGURE 1. Structure of vismiones.

view, it should be noted that vismiones with a five-carbon-atom side chain at C-2 have been mainly found in Vismia species, while those with a geranyl chain have been isolated only from Psorospermum species.

Vismiones and other secondary metabolites from Vismieae exhibited a good antifeedant activity against Lepidoptera larvae and *Locusta migratoria* (3) but no antibacterial or antifungal activity.¹ This paper will deal with cytotoxicity of vismiones and preliminary data on the in vivo antitumor activity of vismione A (1, NSC 339659).

Among the prenylated anthranoids (such as ferruginins, prenylated anthrones or anthraquinones) which we have isolated from Visimieae and tested in vitro, only the vismiones display a significant cytotoxicity against different tumor cell lines (4,5).

The National Cancer Institute (NCI), USA, has used 9KB (Eagle) cell cultures (6) as an antitumor assay for screening plant extracts since 1969 (7) and has played an important role in the discovery of antitumor agents from higher plants (8). However, activity against KB cells does not always correlate with an in vivo activity against experimental tumors, such as ascitic P-388 murine leukemia (9).

In our laboratories we have also adopted two different murine P-388 leukemia cell lines, one sensitive (P-388/S) and one resistant (P-388/R) to doxorubicin, for the primary screening of natural products from fermentation broths or plant extracts. The efficacy of vismiones in inhibiting the growth of 9 KB, P-388/S, and P-388/R cell lines is reported in Table 1. The data show that only in vismione D and F can the presence of a C-6 acetoxy group be correlated with an increased efficacy when compared with the corresponding C-6 hydroxylated compounds. Another in-

Table	1.	Effect of Vismiones	and
Doxorubicin	on	the Growth of 9 KB,	P-388/S,
and	I P-	388/R Cell Cultures ^a	

Vismione	$ID_{50}(\mu g/ml)$		
	КВ	P-388/S	P-388/R
A(1)	0.25	0.45	1.1
Deacetyl A (2) .	0.7	0.4	0.3
Acetyl B (3)	42.0	5.0	2.0
B (4)	10.0	10.0	3.0
C (5)	2.1	n.d. ^b	n.d.
Acetyl D (7)	5.0	1.8	n.d.
D(8)	14.0	14.0	18.0
Acetyl F (10)	1.0	2.7	3.0
F(11)	2.8	2.0	13.5
G (12)	3.2	30.0	30.0
Doxorubicin	0.2	0.0055	0.5

 $^{a}\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values were determined on day 3 culture.

^bn.d.=Not determined.

teresting finding is that compounds **3** and **4** appear to be slightly more effective against P-388 cells resistant to doxorubicin (Adriamycin[®], one of the most active and clinically used antitumor agents) (10) than against doxorubicin sensitive P-388 cells.

Vismione A (1), the most effective compound against 9 KB cells in vitro, was tested in vivo against some experimental tumors. The data, obtained under the auspices of the NCl, Division of Cancer Treatment, Drug Research and Development Branch, and reported in Tables 2 and 3, show that in mice vis-

TABLE 2. Antitumor Activity of Vismione A against M 5076 Ovarian Carcinoma Transplanted ip^a (Data from National Cancer Institute)

Vismione A	mg/kg	T/C(%)
(NSC 339659)	0.31 0.63 1.25 2.50 5.0 10.0	111 115,108 119,111 140,135 145,147 toxic

^aMale BDF₁ mice transplanted ip with $\frac{1}{100}$ dilution of M 5076; treatment ip from day 1 to 9 after transplantation. Vismione A was administered as a solution/suspension in Klucel (hydroxypropylcellulose).

¹G. Cassinelli and A. Sanfilippo, unpublished results.

TABLE 3. Antitumor Activity of Vismione A against B16 Melanocarcinoma Transplanted ip^a (Data from National Cancer Institute)

Vismione A	mg/kg	T/C (%)
(NSC 339659)	0.62 1.25 2.50 5.0 10.0	98 112 115 148 toxic

^aMale BDF₁ mice transplanted ip with $\frac{1}{100}$ dilution of B16; treatment ip from day 1 to 5 after transplantation. Vismione A was administered as a solution/suspension in Klucel (hydroxypropylcellulose).

mione A displays a significant activity against M 5076 ovarian carcinoma and B16 melanocarcinoma; it is inactive against P-388 ascitic leukemia (T/C 117% at 5 mg/kg).

EXPERIMENTAL

PLANT MATERIAL.—Vismiones A (1) and B (4) were isolated from the fruits of Vismia baccifera var. dealbata (H.B.K.) Ewan (11); deacetylvismione A (2) from the fruits of Vismia lindeniama Dcne. (12); acetylvismione B (3) from the fruits of Vismia japurensis Reich. (13); vismione C (5), D (8), and E (6) from the fruits of Psorospermum febrifugum Spach (14); vismione H (9) and acetylvismione D (7) from the roots of Psorospermum tenuifolium Hook. (15); acetylvismione F (10), vismione F (11) and G (12) from the roots of Psorospermum corymbiferum Hochr. and Psorospermum glaberrimum Hochr. (16).

BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION.—Before testing, samples of ethanolic solutions of vismiones were diluted with distilled H_2O to obtain a final EtOH concentration of 0.2%.

P-388 leukemia cells resistant to doxorubicin (P-388/R) were obtained by F.M. Schabel of Southern Research Institute, Birmingham, Alabama, after repeated exposure of P-388 cells to doxorubicin.

The cytotoxicity on the different cell lines was determined by counting surviving cells after 72 h of continuous exposure to the drugs (17). The percentage of controls and the ID_{50} (inhibiting dose) values were calculated on dose-response curves.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are pleased to acknowledge the National Cancer Institute for the in vivo activity data and Dr. Matthew Suffness of the same institute for his interest in this work. The work was supported by a grant from Progetto Finalizzato Chimica Fine e Secondaria, CNR, Roma.

Vismione Activity

LITERATURE CITED

- F. delle Monache, Rev. Latinoam. Quim., 16, 5 (1985).
- F. delle Monache, Paper presented at the Symposium on Alkaloids and Anthraquinones of African Medicinal Plant, Addis Ababa (Ethiopia), 20 August 1985.
- M.S.J. Simmonds, W.H. Blaney, F. delle Monache, M. Marquina Mac-Quhae, and G.B. Marini-Bettolo, J. Chem. Ecol., 11, 1595 (1985).
- F. delle Monache, F. Ferrari, and G.B. Marini-Bettolo, Italian Pat. Appl. No. 47680-A-84 (13 February 1984).
- F. delle Monache, B. Botta, G. delle Monache, and J.U. Oguakwa, Italian Pat. Appl. No. 48034-A-84 (13 April 1984).
- R.T. Geran, H.H. Greenberg, M.M. Macdonald, A.M. Schumacher, and B.J. Abbott, *Cancer Chemother. Rep.*, 3 (Pt 3), 1 (1972).
- M. Suffness and J. Douros, *Methods Cancer Res.*, 16A, 73 (1979).
- 8. R.E. Perdue Jr., J. Nat. Prod., 45, 418 (1982).
- M. Suffness and J. Douros, J. Nat. Prod., 45, 1 (1982).
- F. Arcamone, "Doxorubicin Anticancer Antibiotics," Vol. 17, Medicinal Chemistry, a series of monographs, Academic Press, New York, 1981, p. 25.
- F. delle Monache, F. Ferrari, G.B. Marini-Bettolo, and P. Maxfield, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, **109**, 301 (1979).
- F. delle Monache, F. Ferrari, G.B. Marini-Bettolo, and L.E. Cuca Suarez, *Planta* Med., 40, 340 (1980).
- R. Moura Pinheiro, M. Marquina Mac-Quhae, G.B. Marini-Bettolo, and F. delle Monache, *Phytochemistry*, 23, 1737 (1984).
- B. Botta, F. delle Monache, G. delle Monache, G.B. Marini-Bettolo, and J.U. Oguakwa, *Phytochemistry*, 22, 539 (1983).
- G. delle Monache, R. Di Benedetto, F. delle Monache, and J.U. Oguakwa, submitted to *Phytochemistry*.
- F. delle Monache, B. Botta, G. delle Monache, and G.B. Marini-Bettolo, *Phytochemistry*, 24, 1855 (1985).
- V.I. Oyama, and H. Eagle, Pserm., 91, 305 (1956).

Received 3 April 1986